1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6	* * *	
7	SCOTT FRIEDMAN,	Case No. 2:18-CV-857 JCM (VCF)
8	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER
9	v.	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,	
11	Defendant(s).	
12		
13	Presently before the court is the matter of Friedman v. United States et al., case no. 2:18-	
14	cv-00857-JCM-VCF.	
15	On October 7, 2019, plaintiff Scott Friedman and defendant Julie Bolton filed a join	
16	motion to extend time for Bolton to file a reply (ECF No. 215) in support of her motion to dismiss	
17	(ECF No. 197). Friedman's response to Bolton's motion to dismiss was filed October 1, 2019	
18	(ECF No. 203), so Bolton's present deadline to file her reply is October 8, 2019, pursuant to Loca	
19	Rule 7-2(b).	
20	The parties represent that Bolton's counsel has had conflicting demands stemming from	
21	other cases and therefore requires a three-day extension to complete the reply. Good cause	
22	appearing, the court will grant the parties' motion to extend time.	
23	Accordingly,	
24	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the parties' joint motion	
25	to extend time (ECF No. 215) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.	
26		
27		
28		

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Bolton shall have up to and including October 11, 2019, to file her reply in support of her motion to dismiss (ECF No. 197). DATED October 9, 2019.

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge